1			
2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California		
3	THOMAS GREENE		
	Chief Assistant Attorney General KATHLEEN E. FOOTE		
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General NICOLE GORDON		
5	Deputy Attorney General (State Bar No. 224138) SANGEETHA M. RAGHUNATHAN		
6	Deputy Attorney General (State Bar No. 229129) EMILIO E. VARANINI		
7	Deputy Attorney General (State Bar No. 163952) 455 Golden Gate Avenue		
8	San Francisco, California 94012 Telephone: (415) 703-5908	$\sim$	
9	Fax: (415) 703-5480		
10	Email: Emilio.Varanini@doj.ca.gov		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff States		
-	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION	
14			
15	STATE OF CALIFORNIA et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No.: C 06 4333 PJH	
16	v.		
- 1		JOINT STIPULATION AND	
17	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG et al.,	[P <del>ROPOSED</del> ] ORDER	
17 18	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG et al., Defendants.	[P <del>ROPOSED</del> ] ORDER REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR	
		REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO	
18	Defendants.	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO	
18 19	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO:	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH	
18 19 20	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO:	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED	
18 19 20 21	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO: ALL AG ACTIONS	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED  unology Corp. and Nanya Technology USA	
18 19 20 21 22	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO: ALL AG ACTIONS  On November 27, 2007, Defendants Nanya Tech	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED  mology Corp. and Nanya Technology USA c. ("NEC"), Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Mosel	
18 19 20 21 22 23	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO: ALL AG ACTIONS  On November 27, 2007, Defendants Nanya Tech (collectively "Nanya"), NEC Electronics America, Inc.	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED  anology Corp. and Nanya Technology USA c. ("NEC"), Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Mosel blogy AG and Infineon Technology North	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO: ALL AG ACTIONS  On November 27, 2007, Defendants Nanya Tech (collectively "Nanya"), NEC Electronics America, Inc. Vitelic Corp. (collectively "Mosel"), Infineon Technology	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED  mology Corp. and Nanya Technology USA c. ("NEC"), Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Mosel clogy AG and Infineon Technology North conductor Inc. and Hynix Semiconductor	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THIS DOCUMENT RELATED TO:  ALL AG ACTIONS  On November 27, 2007, Defendants Nanya Tech (collectively "Nanya"), NEC Electronics America, Inc. Vitelic Corp. (collectively "Mosel"), Infineon Technol America Corp. (collectively "Infineon"), Hynix Semi-	REGARDING PARTIES' BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED  mology Corp. and Nanya Technology USA c. ("NEC"), Mosel Vitelic Inc. and Mosel logy AG and Infineon Technology North conductor Inc. and Hynix Semiconductor gy, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products,	

	· ·		
. 1	Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted. The original briefing schedule and hearing date		
2	were as follows:		
3	Deadline to file opposition to motion to dismiss: December 26, 2007		
4	Deadline to file reply: January 2, 2008		
5	Hearing date: January 16, 2008, 9:30 AM		
6	Representatives of the plaintiff States and defendants have met-and-conferred, and have		
7	agreed to a proposed modification of the schedule in light of counsel and the parties' schedules		
8	during the holiday season. The parties have therefore stipulated, and propose to the Court, that		
9	the original dates be modified as follows:		
10	Deadline to file opposition to motion to dismiss: January 9, 2008		
11	Deadline to file reply: January 30, 2008		
12	9:00 Hearing date: February 27, 2008, 9 <del>:30</del> AM		
13			
14	Dated: December 18, 2007		
15	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	MICHAEL F. TUBACH, ESQ.	
16	Attorney General of the State of California STHOMAS GREENE J	STEVEN H. BERGMAN, ESQ, ANE Y. CHANG, ESQ.	
17	Chief Assistant Attorney General KATHLEEN E. FOOTE	D'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 100 South Hope Street	
18	Senior Assistant General I NICOLE GORDON	Los Angeles, CA 90071	
19	SANGEETHAM. RAGHONATHAN	/s/ STEVEN H. BERGMAN, ESQ.,	
20	Deputy Attorney General EMILIO E. VARANINI	Attorneys for Hynix Semiconductor Inc. and	
21	455 Golden Gate Avenue p	Hynix Semiconductor America Inc. and, for purposes of this stipulation only, signing on	
22		ehalf of all other defendants	
23	EMILIO E. VARANINI, ESQ.,		
24	Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff States		
25	I, Nicole Gordon, attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained		
26	from each of the other signatories.		
27	/s/ Nicole Gordon		
28	Deputy Attorney General for the State of California		
- 18	II .	·	

## 

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT IS ORDERED THAT the briefing schedule for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss For Failure to State A Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted be modified as follows:

Deadline to file opposition to motion to dismiss: January 9, 2008

Deadline to file reply: January 30, 2008

Hearing date: February 27, 2008, 9:30 AM

Dated: December \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2007

